1	JODI LINKER				
2	Federal Public Defender Northern District of California				
3	CARMEN SMARANDOIU Assistant Federal Public Defender 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36106				
4					
	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7700				
5	Facsimile: (408) 291-7399				
6	Email: Carmen_Smarandoiu@fd.org				
7	Counsel for Defendant Zhao				
8					
9		LANCE DISTRICT COLUMN			
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. CR 19–00222 JD			
14	Plaintiff,	UNOPPOSED MOTION AND			
15	V.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER U.S.S.G.			
16	MIN JIN ZHAO,	AMENDMENT 821			
17	Defendant.				
18	Defendant.				
19					
20	The parties, by and through their respective counsel, HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE:				
21	1. Defendant is making an unopposed motion for reduction of his sentence pursuant to 18				
22	U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.				
23	2. When a defendant "has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing				
24	range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission the court may reduce				
25	the term of imprisonment, after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a) to the extent that				
26	they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the				
27	Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).				
28	3. Section 3582(c)(2) establishes a tw	vo-step inquiry. Dillon v. United States, 560 U.S. 817,			

1	827 (2010). "At step one, § 3582(c)(2) requires the court to follow the Commission's instructions in §			
2	1B1.10 to determine the prisoner's eligibility for a sentence modification and the extent of the			
3	reduction authorized." <i>Id.</i> Specifically, § 1B1.10 requires the court to "determine the amended			
4	guideline range that would have been applicable to the defendant if [a listed retroactive amendment]			
5	had been in effect at the time the defendant was sentenced." U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(1). If the amended			
6	guideline range is lower than the defendant's initial guideline range, he is eligible for a reduction to			
7	the low-end of the amended guideline range, but no less than the term of imprisonment he had			
8	already served. Id. § 1B1.10(b)(2)(A), (C).			
9	4. "At step two of the inquiry, § 3582(c)(2) instructs a court to consider any applicable §			
10	3553(a) factors and determine whether, in its discretion, the reduction authorized by reference to the			
11	policies relevant at step one is warranted in whole or in part under the particular circumstances of the			
12	case." Dillon, 560 U.S. at 827. In making this determination, the court may consider the post-			
13	sentencing conduct of the defendant. U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 n.1(B)(iii); <i>United States v. Trujillo</i> , 713			
14	F.3d 1003, 1009-10 (9th Cir. 2013).			
15	4. Defendant's guideline calculation at the time of sentencing was as follows:			
16	Total Offense Level: 20			
17	Criminal history score: 0			
18	Criminal History Category: I			

Guideline Range: 33-41 months.

- 3. On November 15, 2021, the Court sentenced Defendant to 33 months' imprisonment in the instant matter.
- 4. On April 19, 2023, Defendant was sentenced to 63 months' imprisonment in Docket No. 21CR00181-001 VC. See Sentence Reduction Investigation Report ("SRIR") at 4.1 The term of imprisonment imposed by this judgment was ordered to run concurrently with Defendant's term of imprisonment in the instant matter. See id. However, the time from November 15, 2021, to April 19,

27

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

¹ The Sentence Reduction Investigation Report, prepared by the Probation Office, will be submitted to the Court forthwith.

1	2023 (approximately 17 months), cannot be credited to the defendant's sentence of 63 months			
2	because that sentence had not yet commenced. See 18 U.S.C. §3585(a); SRIR at 5. Accordingly,			
3	Defendant is serving a total of approximately 80 months for both sentences, which are treated as a			
4	single aggregate term of imprisonment. See 18 U.S.C §3584(c); SRIR at 5.			
5	4. According to the Bureau of Prisons, Defendant's projected release date is August 30,			
6	2026.			
7	5. Beginning November 1, 2023, the Court may order a modification of a defendant's			
8	sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), USSG § 1B1.10, and Amendment 821, Part B, Subpart			
9	1 (Zero-Point Offenders) to the Sentencing Guidelines. See U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(d).			
10	6. Defendant's amended guideline calculation under Amendment 821 is as follows:			
11	Total Offense Level: 18			
12	Criminal history score: 0			
13	Criminal History Category: I			
14	Guideline Range: 27-33 months.			
15	7. The parties agree that Defendant is eligible for a sentence reduction of 6 months, from			
16	33 months to 27 months. See U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(1), (2)(A).			
17	8. Defendant has maintained positive post-sentencing conduct. <i>See</i> SRIR at 4. In addition			
18	to his work assignment as a grounds crew worker, for which he has earned average or above work			
19	evaluations during the most recent review period, Defendant has taken multiple educational courses			
20	and earned his GED/high school diploma on September 28, 2023. See id. Defendant has no			
21	disciplinary history for the past six months. See id. He is also making commensurate payments			
22	toward his court ordered financial obligation. See id.			
23	9. Based on Defendant's amended guidelines range, positive post-sentencing conduct, the			
24	financial nature of his offense, and the fact that Defendant is serving an aggregate sentence of			
25	approximately 80 months, the parties agree that a reduction of 6 months in the instant matter is			
26	consistent with the § 3553(a) factors and would not pose a danger to the community or another			
27	person. See 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2); U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 n.1(B).			

28

//

1	10.	10. Accordingly, Defendant makes an unopposed motion for a 6-month reduction of his		
2	sentence.			
3	11.	The parties stipulate that, if the Cou	art grants the unopposed motion and orders the	
4	requested re	eduction, all other aspects of the original judgment issued on November 15, 2021, and		
5	filed on Nov	evember 23, 2021, including the length of the term of supervised release, conditions of		
6	supervision,	, fines, restitution, and special assessment shall remain unchanged. The judgment in		
7	Docket No.	tet No. 21CR00181-001 VC shall also remain unchanged.		
8	12.	Defendant waives and does not request a hearing in this matter, pursuant to Fed. R.		
9	Crim. P. 43,	n. P. 43, 18 U.S.C. § 3583(c)(2), and <i>United States v. Booker</i> , 543 U.S. 220 (2005).		
10	13.	Defendant waives his right to appeal the district court's sentence, provided that the		
11	Court grants	nts his unopposed motion and orders the requested reduction.		
12	14.	Accordingly, Defendant requests that the Court enter an order reducing Defendant's		
13	term of imprisonment to 27 months.			
14	Respe	ctfully submitted,		
15	Dated	: 8/28/2024	JODI LINKER	
16			Federal Public Defender	
17			/s/ CARMEN SMARANDOIU	
18			Assistant Federal Public Defender	
19				
20	Dated	: 8/28/2024	ISMAIL RAMSEY United States Attorney	
21			·	
22			ROSS MAZER	
23			Assistant United States Attorney	
24	IT IS	SO ORDERED.		
25				
26	Dated	: 10/18/2024	HON. JAMES DONATO	
27			United States District Judge	

28